

BOTSWANA EXAMINATIONS COUNCIL

# **JCE DESIGN & TECHNOLOGY**

2022

Advancing learning, certifying your future



# **COMPONENT 2**

# **General Comments**

This report evaluates the candidates' responses on component 2 of the 2022 examination. The report covers candidates' responses on section A (questions on recall, materials, and technology) as well as section B (application of knowledge and problem solving). The candidates were able to attempt all the sections of the question paper fairly.

# Section A

### Question 1

- (a) Candidates were able to state the reasons for keeping the workshop clean.
- (b) Most candidates were able to state one safety rule to be observed when working with materials.

#### **Question 2**

Most of the candidates were able to state the two classes of metals, but only a few candidates stated the specific name of the metal.

# **Question 3**

Most of the candidates were able to state/name the section of metal shown in the diagram.

#### **Question 4**

Most of the candidates were confusing the term recycling and reuse. Most of the candidates were able to state the term resistor instead of resistance.

# **Question 5**

At "P" most candidates were able to state the specific name of the mechanism LEVER, but in the second part, Q most did not do well in naming the mechanism GEAR.

#### **Question 6**



- (a) Most of the candidates were able to draw the symbols of the electronic components but some drew them in circuit diagrams. The ones who drew a symbol of a bulb mostly omitted the connecting wires.
- (b) Most candidates were able to show the formulae but the final answer a lot of them did not get it, especially the symbol.

# Questions 7 & 8

It was evident that there was a lack of knowledge on processes, and also it showed a lack of practical demonstration.

# **Question 9**

- (a) It was well done, and accessible to most candidates (matching products with source)
- (b) Most candidates were able to state one advertising company of the products.

# **Question 10**

Most candidates came up with situations bearing two concerns. The question seemed confusing since it appeared candidates were not conversant with the term DESIGN SITUATION and instead just SITUATION.

# Section B

# Question 11

- (a) Most candidates were able to state the specific name for the container and nozzle, but few candidates stated general names for the plastics.
- (b) The reasons stated did not link to the materials stated in (a), therefore it was poorly done. Candidates were writing general properties instead of working properties.
- (c) Poorly done, candidates there were not able to suggest a possible method of producing the container.
  - i. Most of the candidates were able to state one protective clothing to be worn.
  - ii. Poorly done candidates unable to state three pieces of equipment needed to produce the container
- (d) Poorly done candidates were not able to state the method of fitting the nozzle to the trigger (threading, twisting, screwing, force fitting)





# Question 12

- (a) i. Candidates were able to state the specific wood solid timber.
  - ii. Well done-most gave reasons for the choice
- (b) Averagely done, some were able to give correct joints (mortise and tenon).
- (c) Poorly done, candidates did not identify the risk posed when the chair is used instead, they wrote collapsing and breaking of the legs when they were not correct.
- (d) i. Candidates were not able to come up with correct solutions, instead brought up solutions that were not able to solve the problem.
  - ii. Averagely done, the tools had to be linked to the solution which in most cases candidates did not get.
  - iii. Most were able to state the correct finish.

# **Question 13**

- (a) i. Well done, candidates were able to state the specific material for making the holder and basin
- (b) Poorly done-candidates unable to state methods (rawl bolting, screwing with plugs)
- (c) Most of the candidates were not able to come up with the correct bit for the wall (masonry)
- (d) Poorly done Candidates did not correctly draw the surface development as dictated by the questions.
- (e) Poorly done, candidates failed to state the correct fixing onto the wall (rawl bolting, screwing with plug).

# **Question 14**

Candidates were not able to present their designs in full three Dimensions resulting in marks lost. Materials and construction not fully provided/ accounted for also leading to marks lost. Finishes partly covered and dimensions not addressed by most candidates.